

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,299	MIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kahelin	3762	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Kahelin.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(2) David Sarisky.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006

Time: 1pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 20, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an amendment to provide antecedent basis for the "blanking period" element. Applicant agreed and the claims were amended by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/18/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)